

**Decision of Regional Transport Authority (RTA), Malappuram Meeting held on**

**21.05.2015 at Collectorate Conference Hall, Malappuram at 10.30.a.m.**

**Item No.1**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh regular permit on the route Kombankallu Colony – Manjeri Seethi Haji Memorial Bus Stand. The application was considered by earlier RTA held on 30.10.2013 in item no. 4. and the application was rejected because of vehement objection stating that the vehicle KL 07 AH 9890 offered is granted with regular permit on the route Manjeri – Karinkallathani and timings were settled, but the grantee of the permit was not produced the current records of the vehicle to avail the said permit even after the settlement of timings. Also because of a virgin portion of the proposed route from Changana Bye pass to Kovilakamkundu 3.4 Km and from Alungkunnu Junction to Kombankallu Colony a distance of 4.8kms and road fitness was not obtained. Also, the field officer conducted enquiry about the route and has reported that the virgin portion from Alungkunnu Junction to Kombankallu Colony a distance of 4.8 km is unfit road having carriage way width below 3 meters and is not suitable for stage carriage service.

Against the decision of the RTA, the applicant filed a petition before Hon. STAT, Ernakulam and the judgment MVAA No. 8/2014; Dtd. 22.01.2015 reads “... This appeal stands allowed to the extent of setting aside the impugned order and remitting the matter for fresh consideration of the RTA, Malappuram after ascertaining whether the disputed portion of road having the distance of 4.8 Kms from Alungkunnu junction to Kombankallu Colony is fit for operation of stage carriages and then, after affording a reasonable opportunity of being heard to the appellant, to dispose of his application for regular permit in accordance with law.”

On perusal of the records placed before this authority, it is observed that no competent authority had ascertained the fitness of the disputed portion of the road from Alungkunnu junction to Kombankallu Colony.

There was also an allegation on the applicant about trafficking of stage carriage permit in the open forum of RTA.

Hence, in obedience to the judgment of Hon. STAT, the application is considered afresh; however the decision on the application is **adjourned** for want of a specific report regarding the road fitness from Alungkunnu junction to Kombankallu Colony and also regarding the genuinity of the applicant. Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain these reports and place the application before next RTA.

**Item No.2**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh regular permit on the route Kottakkal – Vengara (via) Chankuvetti, AV College Jn, Chodalappara, Puthuparamba, Karattangadi, Manhemad, Bridge, Mini Bazaar, Puthanangadi and Kacheripadi with cut trip to Puthuparamba and halt at Puthuparamba as ordinary service. The matter was placed before the RTA held on 28.05.2014 in item no 18 and the decision on the application was adjourned for want of a road fitness certificate for the virgin portions of the route from Karattangadi to Mini Bazar and Puthanangadi to Kacheripadi.

On verification of records it is found that Road Fitness certificate was obtained from Karattangadi to Mini Bazar and also from Puthanangadi to Kacheripadi from competent authority. However, the field officer reported that there is an overlapping of

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

500 meters from Changuvetti to AVS College Jn on the notified route Kottayam – Kozhikkode, which is below the permissible limit. It is reported in the Item No. 3 of this meeting that the distance between Changuvetti and AVS College Jn is 600 Mts. This is a contradiction and the Secretary, RTA is directed to re-enquire the matter and ascertain the exact distance between these places in the notified sector and place before next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

### **Item No.3**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh regular permit on the route Vengara – Kottakkal – Chemmad (via) Tharayittal, Mini Bazar, Manhemad Palam, Karattangadi, Puthuparamba, Ayurveda College Jn, Chenkuvetti, Muthalamad, Mannilpilakkal, Kooriyad, Kakkad and PSMO college with one round trip in the morning from Vengara to Kadampuzha via Iringallur, Kottakkal, Kottoor, Maravattam; return (via) Marakkara, Kottakkal, Chankuvetti, AV College Jn, Pottippara, Kavilmunambu kadavu and Tharayittal and with one round trip in the night from Vengara to Padikkal (via) Cheror, Kunnumpuram, Kariyankallu, Parambilpeedika as ordinary service. The matter was placed before the RTA held on 28.05.2014 in item no 19 and the decision on the application was adjourned for want of a road fitness certificate for the virgin portions of the route from Mini Bazar to Karattangadi on a distance of 1.5kms

On verification of records it is found that Road Fitness certificate was obtained from Mini Bazar to Karattangadi from competent authority. However, the field officer reported that There is an overlapping of 3.6 kms from AVS College Jn to Changuvetti (600 meters) and from Kakkad to Chemmad (3kms) on the notified route Kottayam – Kozhikkode which is below the permissible limit. It is reported in Item No. 2 of this meeting that the distance between Changuvetti and AVS College Jn is 500 Mts. This is a contradiction, and the Secretary, RTA is directed to re-enquire the matter and ascertain the exact distance between these places in the notified sector and place before next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

### **Item No.4**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Kottakkal – Vengara – Kottappuram (via) Chankuvetti, Puthuparamba Road Jn, Chodalappara, Puthuparamba, Karattangadi, Manhemad Palam, Mini Bazar, Puthanangadi, Tharayittal and return trips from Vengara via Kacherippadi; Kottakkal – Kottappuram (via) Kavthikkalam, Indianoor and Choonorr as ordinary Service. The matter was placed before the RTA held on 28.05.2014 in item no 21 and the decision on the application was adjourned for want of road fitness certificate for the virgin portions of the route from Karattangadi to Mini Bazar and Puthanangadi to Kacheripadi.

On verification of records, it is found that the road fitness certificate was obtained from Karattangadi to Mini Bazar and Puthanangadi to Kacheripadi. Also, as per the report of the field officer, the overlapping with the notified route is within the permissible limits.

Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

**Item No.5**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh stage carriage permit on the route Kaattilangadi – Puthanathani – Alloor – Kuttor Jn. – Tirur (via) Yatheemkhana, Kurumbathur, Bavappadi and Patternadakkavu, Kuttikalathani, Randal, Medippara, AES, Myladiyal, Vyrangode Palam, Vyrangode, Mukkilippedika, Koloopalam, B P Angadi and Thazheppalam, Kadungathukunde, Vylathur, Payyangadi and Iringavoor as ordinary service. The matter was placed before RTA held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 2 and the decision on the application was adjourned since as per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 3.7 Kms, from B.P. Angadi to Thazheppalam in the Ponnani – Chelari Notified portion. Earlier there was a restriction to grant fresh permit if the route overlaps with Ponnani – Chelari Notified portion as per the G.O.10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 17.07.2014.

Now, as per the G.O. 10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 20.12.2014 the Government withdraws the directions contained in the previous order issued on 17.07.2014 and upheld the notified scheme SRO 555/2013. In this context, since the total overlapping in the notified portion is not exceeding 10 Kms, as per Clause 5(c) of GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014 the overlapping is not objectionable. Hence, regular permit is **granted** to stage carriage KL 10 L 9627 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.6**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Tanur – Tirur – Kunnumpuram (via) Moolakkal, Kalad, Moochikkal and Madathilpadi Road, with one trip from Tirur to Tanur via Chembra, Pandimuttam touching Theyyala, Kannamthali, and with trip on the route Tanur – Venniyur via Pandimuttam, Theyyala (2 Singles) and with Tanur – Koottayi Azhimugham 2 single trips in the early morning via Puthiyakadappuram, Unniyal and Koottayi with Tanur – Puthanathani 2 single trips during night time via Ezhur, Vyranckode and Patternadakkavu as ordinary service. The matter was placed before RTA held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 4 and the decision on the application was adjourned since as per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 6 kms from Tirur Thazheppalam to Moochikkal and Moolakkal to Tanur in the Ponnani – Chelari Notified portion. Earlier there was a restriction to grant fresh permit if the route overlaps with Ponnani – Chelari Notified portion as per the G.O.10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 17.07.2014.

Now, as per the G.O. 10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 20.12.2014 the Government withdraws the directions contained in the previous order issued on 17.07.2014 and upheld the notified scheme SRO 555/2013. In this context, since the total overlapping in the notified portion is not exceeding 10 Kms, as per Clause 5(c) of GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014 the overlapping is not objectionable. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to

settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No.7**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application is for a fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Parappanagadi – Kalikavu (via) Chemmad, Kakkad, Vengara, Oorakam, Malappuram, Irumbazhi, Manjeri, Nellikuth, Pandikkad, Thuvvur, Karuvarakkunde with 2 single trips during night on the Parappanagadi – Tirur (via) Chemmad, Kodinhi, Pandimuttam and Chembra as ordinary service. The matter was placed before RTA held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 8 and the decision on the application was adjourned with a direction to the applicant to submit a modified application with more number of trips through the ill-served sector. Against the decision of the RTA, the applicant had approached Hon. High Court of Kerala and produced the judgment WP(C) 5070 of 2015 Dtd. 18.02.2015. This authority had perused the judgment of Hon. Court and directed the Secretary RTA, to submit a detailed report with more number of trips through the ill-served sector Pandikkad – Kalikavu via Karuvarakkunde and Parappanagadi – Tirur (via Chemmad, Kodinhi, Chembra) with a route sketch and time plan in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

#### **Item No.8**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh stage carriage permit on the route Padikkal – Pokayur – Kondotty (via) Parambilpeedika, Kadappadi, Kollanchina, Chulliyalpuray, Kalladamad School, Valakkandi, Kumminiparamba, Kolathur Jn., with one singly trip during night from Pukayur to Kadampuzha and return single trip to Padikkal (via) Kadappadi Karuvankallu, Kunnumpuram, Achanambalam, Cheror, Vengara, Iringallur, Kottakkal, Athani and Marakkara Halt at Padikkal as ordinary service. The application was earlier considered by the RTA held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 9 and the decision on the application was adjourned with a direction to the Secretary, RTA to ascertain whether the portion from Kollanchina to Pokayur lies in virgin portion or not, seek road fitness certificate from the concerned authorities, if required and place before next RTA. The matter was enquired and the Enquiry Officer ascertained that there is no virgin portion between Kollanchina and Pokayur. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No.9**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh stage carriage permit is to operate on the route Tirur - Tanur (via) Pookayil, Mangad, Unniyal, Puthiyakadappuram, Moolakkal, Kalad, Chakkaramoola and Moochikkal. The matter was placed before RTA held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 11 and the decision on the application was adjourned since as per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 5.6 Kms from Tanur B S to Moolakkal and Moochikkal to Thazheppalam in the Ponnani – Chelari notified portion. Earlier there was a restriction to grant fresh permit if the route

overlaps with Ponnani- Chelari Notified portion as per the G.O.10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 17.07.2014.

Now, as per the G.O. 10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 20.12.2014 the Government withdraws the directions contained in the previous order issued on 17.07.2014 and upheld the notified scheme SRO 555/2013. In this context, since the total overlapping in the notified portion is not exceeding 10 Kms, as per Clause 5(c) of GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014 the overlapping is not objectionable. Hence, regular permit is **granted** to the stage carriage KL 10 P 3973 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No.10**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh stage carriage permit is to operate on the inter-district route Olipram – Ramanattukara (via) Muthiparamba Jn., Kolakkattuchalil, Kolakuth and Idimoozhikkal with halt at Koottayi (via) Paruthikkad, Koottumoochi, Ullanam, Parappanangadi, Chemmad, Kodinhi, Pandimuttam, Kannamthali, Tanur, Puthiyakadappuram and Unniyal as ordinary service. The matter was placed before RTA held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 16 and the decision on the application was adjourned since as per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 100 Mts. in the Ponnani – Chelari notified portion. Earlier there was a restriction to grant fresh permit if the route overlaps with Ponnani – Chelari Notified portion as per the G.O.10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 17.07.2014.

Now, as per the G.O. 10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 20.12.2014 the Government withdraws the directions contained in the previous order issued on 17.07.2014 and upheld the notified scheme SRO 555/2013. In this context, since the total overlapping in the notified portion is not exceeding 10 Kms, as per Clause 5(c) of GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014, the overlapping is not objectionable.

The Secretary, RTA reported that part of the route, 2.6 Kms lies in jurisdiction of sister RTA, Kozhikkode and since the same is within the limit of General Concurrence no concurrence is required from that RTA. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No.11**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Paruthipara - Ramanattukara (via) Farook College and Ativaram and Farook College - Kavanakallu Bridge 4 single trips in the morning (via) Karad, Thiruthiyad and Akkode and halt at Farook College as ordinary service. The application was placed before this RTA held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 25 and the decision on the application was adjourned for an opinion from the sister RTA, Kozhikkode on the route of the requested permit. As per the application, most of the trips are proposed on the route Paruthippara – Ramanattukara – Feroke College and this route is under the city permit limit of Kozhikkode. Also, some of the proposed trips are during very early

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

morning and the hence the feasibility of such trips were also in question. Secretary, RTA, Kozhikkode had forwarded the report of the enquiry officer. As per the report of Motor Vehicle Inspector, Mr. Bharathan K., RT Office Kozhikkode, the entire portion (5.3 Kms) in Kozhikkode district is in city limit. From 6 a.m. to 7.45 p.m. the entire service of the stage carriage as per the proposed time schedule is in city limit of Kozhikkode District. The vehicle starts service at 4.00 a.m. to 5.55 a.m. ie, 4 single trips during very early morning from Feroke College to Kavanakkallu Bridge; it is not feasible and therefore the entire service is in the city limit of Kozhikkode District. Hence the application can be treated as city permit and the city permit in Kozhikkode is limited as per GO(p) No. 27/2000/Tran. Dtd. 19.09.2000.” From the reports, this authority found that this application is for a city permit in the restricted area of Kozhikkode in the guise of providing trips in Malappuram area which is not maintainable and not to the interest and convenience of the travelling public. Hence the application for fresh stage carriage permit on the above route is **rejected**.

### **Item No.12**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Vettom Cheerp – Tirur (via) VRC Hospital, Theendapadi, Kinar, Murivazhikkal, Pachattiri, Thunjamparamba and Poongottukulam with 1<sup>st</sup> 2 singles via Alisseri and Pariyapuram as ordinary service.

The matter was placed before RTA held on 18.09.2014 in supplementary item no. 18 and the decision on the application was adjourned since as per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 0.5 kms from Thazheppalam to Pongottukulam on the notified route (Ponnani – Chelari; conditional exclusion portion as per the G.O.10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 17.07.2014).

Now, as per the G.O. 10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 20.12.2014 the Government withdraws the directions contained in the previous order issued on 17.07.2014 and upheld the notified scheme SRO 555/2013. In this context, since the total overlapping in the notified portion is not exceeding 10 Kms, as per Clause 5(c) of GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014, the overlapping is not objectionable. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

### **Item No. 13**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Parappur – Kadampuzha – Tirur Payyanad stadium (via) Kottakkal, Amapara, Marakkara, Vattapparamba, Changuvetty, Edarikkode, Kuttippala, Othukkungal, Ponmala, Malappuram, Irumbumuzhi, Manjeri and Chengana as ordinary Service. The application was placed before the RTA held on 18.09.2014 in Item no. 13 and the decision on the application is adjourned for want of a revised time schedule from the applicant with more number of trips through ill-served sectors. Also, there was a direction for the reporting officer to clearly mention the availability of time gap in Tirur – Malappuram - Manjeri sector. The application was again re-considered by this authority in its sitting on 12.11.2014 in item no. 3. The application and the report of the enquiry officer was discussed in detail and decided to adjourn the decision on the

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

application was again adjourned with a direction to the Secretary, RTA to enquire the matter again and report the feasibility of trips in ill-served sector and furnish the availability of time gap in the well served sector by the help of statistics of the number of vehicles plying through the sector in the next RTA Meeting. The matter was re-enquired by the Joint RTO, Tirur and his findings were:

1. The portion from Tirur to Manjeri is not adequately served with stage carriages
2. The places covering by the route is thickly populated and the passengers are increasing day by day.
3. The present stage carriage operations are insufficient to occupy people travelling to Tirur railway station, various government institutions situated in Taluk head Quarters, Manjeri Medical College and various educational institutions located on the proposed route.
4. Most of the stage carriages operating through this route is overloaded with passengers and more services are required to avoid congestion and for passenger comfort.
5. Hence the application for fresh stage carriage operation on the route Tirur – Manjeri may be considered positively.

This authority has discussed this matter in detail. There was also a Judgment from Hon. High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No. 5070 of 2015 dtd. 18.02.2015

Hence considering the report of Joint RTO, Tirur regular permit is **granted** to the stage carriage KL 12 B 5733 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 14**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Vazhakkad – Mukkam (via) Junction, Kannathupara, Panchirikkavu, Vattappara, Panikkarpuraya, (5 single trips (via) Valillapuzha), Edavannappara, Edasserrikadavu, Keezhuparamba, Pazhamparamba (YP), Pottammal, Cheruvadi, Chullikkaparamba, Kodyathur and Karasseri Junction as ordinary service. The matter was placed before the RTA held on 04.02.2015 and the decision on the application was adjourned with a direction to the Secretary, RTA to re-enquire whether the total distance passing through the neighboring district, Kozhikkode is more than ten Kilometers or not. The Secretary had conducted a re-enquiry through the respective Motor Vehicle Inspector and the finding was the total overlapping distance at Kozhikkode district is 9.70 Kms and also there is no overlapping with the notified sector. Hence regular permit is **granted** to the stage carriage KL 09 J 813 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 15**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for the fresh stage carriage on the route Pothukallu – Chembra Colony (via) Nhattikulam, Panamkayam, Thudimutti, Bhoodanam Colony, Vaidyasapadi, Santhi Gramam with Pothukallu – Pathar 4 single trips via

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

Panamkayam, Poolappadam and Church and with Pothukallu – Erumamunda 4 single trips via. Panamkayam, Poolappadam, Church, Kurukuthi, Vellimuttam and Idimuck as ordinary service. The matter was placed before the RTA held on 04.02.2015 in item no. 13 and the decision on the application was adjourned for obtaining road fitness for the virgin portion. Road fitness was obtained and the matter was considered by this authority. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Fare stages fixed by the route enquiry officer was considered by this authority and found satisfactory; however this authority directs the Secretary RTA to publish the same in office notice board inviting objections from public, if any, with a time period of ten days.

#### **Item No.16**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Kavalappara – Pothukallu (via) Santhimukku, Bhoodhanam, Thudimutti, Panamkayam Palam and Nhattikulam as ordinary service. The matter was placed before the RTA held on 04.02.2015 in item no. 16 and the decision on the application was adjourned with a direction to obtain road fitness certificate for the virgin portion mentioned in the report (Panamkayam Bridge to Kavalappara – 4.3 Kms) and place the application before the next RTA. The road fitness certificate for the above portion is obtained. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Fare stages fixed by the route enquiry officer was considered by this authority and found satisfactory; however this authority directs the Secretary RTA to publish the same in office notice board inviting objections from public, if any, with a time period of ten days.

#### **Item No.17**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Thavanur – Kuttippuram via. Moovankara, Kadakkasserry, Vellancherry, Mathirasserry and Mallur temple and Mini Pampa, with Thavanur – Puthanathani 2 single trips in the morning via Moovankara, Kadakkasserry, Vellancherry, Mathirasserry, and Mallur Temple and Mini Pampa, Kuttippuram, Chembikkal, Oorathpalliyali, Athavanad, Kattilangadi, Kurumbathur and Bavapadi, Puthanathani, Kalpakancherry, Vylathur, Tirur, Ezhur, Vyranakode, Patternadakkvu and Thirunavaya as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application, observations of route enquiry officer and the objections raised by other operators at the time of open hearing in detail. On verification of time schedule proposed by the applicant, it is found that, she has proposed a trip to Thirunavaya from Thavanur at 3.30 a.m. so as to reach Thirunavaya at 06.00 a.m. this authority feels that there is absolutely no viability in conducting such a trip in such an odd hour. Also, there is vehement objection stating



that the early trip is arranged to escape from the overlapping in the nationalized sector. The objection is found sustainable.

There is also another objection that a portion of the route of length 300 mts from Mini Pampa in NH, which is under construction and the fitness certificate has not obtained. In these circumstances, Secretary, RTA is directed to

(1) submit a modified time schedule which is viable and useful to the general public.

(2) enquire the matter on the condition of the road under dispute and obtain fitness certificate, if necessary; and place the matter in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

### **Item No. 18**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kuttipuram – Tirur – Puthanathani (via) Chembi, Thazhathara, Thirunavaya, Vyrankode, Ezhur, Pullur, Thuvvakkadu, Kurungad, Chandanakkavu and touching Allur (via.) Randal as ordinary service. This authority had considered the application in detail and perused the records and documents. Field officer has reported that there is no overlapping in the notified sector and also there is no virgin portion. The officer again stated that the route passes through ill served and interior areas. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

### **Item No. 19**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kombankallu – Edathanattukara – Perinthalmanna – Thekkinkode – Kambram (via) Alingal, Kolapparamba, Vettathur, Arakkaparamba, Karinkallathani, Poovathani, Pallikkunne touching Aliparamba, Thekkepuram, Thootha, Paral, Perinthalmanna, Pattikkad, Chungam, MEA College, Ucharakkadavu, Melattur, Kanhirampara, Kombankallu Palam, Olappara Jn. and Anjilangadi as ordinary service. Considered the application and perused the records in detail. This route is an inter-district and field officer reported that there is an overlapping of 4.9 Kms in Palakkad District, which falls under general concurrence. Notified portion seems not objectionable and within the limit. There is a virgin portion of 3.2 Kms. from Alingal to Olappara. Route enquiry officer has suggested for a LMV Stage carriage operation due to narrow road and sharp bends. Hence regular permit is **granted** for a LMV stage carriage subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Fare stages fixed by the route enquiry officer was considered by this authority and found satisfactory and directs the Secretary RTA to publish the same in the notice board inviting objections from public, if any.

**Item No. 20**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pothuvettipara – Mampuram – University (via) Mundakkulam, Neerad, Kondotty, Melengadi Junction, Karippur, Kumminiparamba, Chirakkalpadi, Valakkandi, Kadappadi, Peruvallur Schoolpadi, Kollanchena, Chulliyalippuram, Pukayoor, V.K. Padi, Mampuram Road, University, (via) Melangadi, Kumminiparamba, Pallikkal Bazar, Rottipeedika, Puthur Pallikkal, High School, Devathiyal and Kohinoor as ordinary service. The matter was placed before RTA held on 04.02.2015 in item no. 24 and the decision on the application was adjourned due to the objections raised by senior operators that the overlapping with notified route is objectionable and also there is no road fitness for the portion Mundakkulam to Pothuvettippara. There was also an objection that there was no road from Kumminiparamba to University via. Pallikkal Bazar. Secretary, RTA re-enquired the matter and submitted the report. This authority has examined the report and found that the objections were not sustainable. Hence regular permit is **granted** to the stage carriage KL 10 L 6787 subject to the production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 21**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Puthanathani – Tirur (via) Kadungathukunde, Kurukkol and also (via) Valavannur Para, Iringavoor, Meesappadi, Payyanangadi, Parappoothadam, Bangalamkunnu, Vailathur as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application and perused the files. As per the report, there is no overlapping with the notified sector and no virgin portion. Also, the route passes through ill-served areas and the Motor Vehicle Inspector reported the need for a regular permit. Hence regular permit is **granted** to the stage carriage KL 10 M 52 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 22**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Vettom Cheerp – Tirur (via) Narayanapadi, Kozhipeedika, Karattukadavu, Randathani, VRC Hospital, Vettathkavu, Alisserri, Panchayath, Theendappadi, Kanoor, Kovalam, Pariyapuram, Mangattiri, South Annara, Poongottukulam and Thazheppalam; Halt at South Annara as ordinary service. Application was considered this authority and examined the related documents. The field officer has reported that there is an overlapping of 0.5 Kms in Ponnani – Chelari notified route and which is not objectionable. There is also a portion of 6 Kms. virgin portion and on verification, road fitness is obtained from competent authorities. The proposed fare stages by the reporting officer was also examined and found satisfactory and this authority directs the Secretary RTA to publish the same in office notice board inviting objections from public, if any. Hence regular permit is **granted** to the stage carriage KL 09 K 2474

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 23**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kottakkal – Vengara (via) Aatteri, Kuzhippuram, Kavala, also via Cherukunnu, Othukkungal, Musiliyarangadi, Moolapparamba, Thayyilkadavu Palam, MLA Padi, Karathode, Cherattuparamba touching Koottumala, Kalpathi Junction, touching Kottumal Markaz, Umminikadavu Palam, Kaipatta, Pottikkallu and Kavala and Halt at Moolapparamba as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application and perused the related documents. The route enquiry officer has reported that there is no overlapping with notified sector. Hence regular permit is **granted** to the stage carriage KL 10 P 5098 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 24**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kalikavu – Manjeri – Emangad – Pookottumpadam (via) Anchachavadi, Thachancode, Vaniyambalam, Wandoor, Elankur, Kuttippara, Peleppuram, Cherucode, Parakkulm, Koord, Mampattumoola, Kallamool, Palam, Anchamiles and Amarambalam as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application and perused the related documents. The field officer has reported that there is no virgin portion in the route and there is an overlapping of 3 Kms with notified route, which is not objectionable. He has also stated that the proposed route mainly passes through ill served areas and connecting Manjeri and Wandoor Towns. Manjeri is Taluk HQ and this bus route will be beneficial for the public travelling to Medical College. There was also public representations and request from LSG institution to initiate a bus route in this sector. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 25**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kuttippuram – Valancherry – Puthanathani via Edachalam, Perasanur, Kavumpuram, Thirunavaya touching via Vyrangaode as ordinary service. This authority considered this application in detail and examined all relevant documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route connects so many intermediate points and areas and the same is not beneficial to the traveling public. This authority verified the reports and found that the proposed route is not having a distinctive path and with

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

many intersections and not to the convenience to the travelling public. Hence the application for fresh stage carriage permit is **rejected**.

**Item No. 26**

Heard the learned counsel.

This an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Padikkal – Manjeri – Tirur via. Parambilpeedika, Karuvankallu, Kunnumpuram, Achanambalam, Cheror, Vengara, Oorakam, Malappuram, Irumbuzhi, Anakayam, Vadakkemanna, Ponmala, Othukkungal, Kottakkal, Edarikkode, Kuttippala as ordinary service. This authority has considered this application and perused the documents. There is no overlapping with the notified routs and no virgin portion. There was also a mentioning that the route passes through ill-served area. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 27**

Heard the learned counsel.

This an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Tirur – Thirunavaya via. Ezhur, Elikkattiripparamba, Vairankode, Patternadakkvu and Edakkulam with halt at Vairankode as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application in detail and examined connected documents. There is no virgin portion in the route and the proposed route does not overlap with a notified portion. The field officer has also reported that the route passes through ill-served areas. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 28**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Tirur – Thirunavaya via. Ezhur, Elikkattiripparamba, Vairankode, Patternadakkvu and Edakkulam with halt at Vairankode as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application in detail and examined connected documents. There is no virgin portion in the route and the proposed route does not overlap with a notified portion. The field officer has also reported that the route passes through ill-served areas. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 29**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Pookoottumpadam – Manjeri via. Kalikavu, Karuvarakunde, Puthanezhi, Pullikkuth,

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

Mannarmala, Melattur, Ucharakadavu, Mannarmala, Pattikkad, Perinthalmanna, Tirurkad, Mankada, Anakayam, Manjeri, Perinthalmanna, Pookkottumpadam, Karuvarakunde and Halting single trip from Perinthalmanna via. Vettatur, Kulapparamba, Kanhirampara, Melattur, Pullikuth, Anjilangadi, Myladi, Puthanezhi as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application in detail and also perused the connected files. There is no virgin portion in the route. As per the report, there is an overlapping of 5 Kms. with the notified route, which is at the brim of the permissible limit. There was strong objection from KSRTC stating that the actual overlapping distance is more than that of the reported distance. Since there is a dispute on the overlapping distance, this authority is of the opinion that the same needs to be ascertained. The applicant has offered a S/C KL 10 S 2217 for endorsing the proposed permit. There was vehement objection from other operators that another regular permit is endorsed on the route Chalava – Manjeri to the said stage carriage. This authority has examined and found the objection sustainable. Hence (1) The Secretary, RTA is directed to submit a specific report on overlapping distance with notified portion on the proposed route. (2) The applicant is directed to produce another stage carriage with no valid fresh permit attached for endorsing the permit and place before next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

### **Item No.30**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Manjeri – Kzhisseri Via Pookolathur, Thripanachi, Palakkad with halt at Manjeri as ordinary service. This application has been considered by this authority in its meeting held on 25.02.2005 & 23.05.2005 and adjourned the decision on the application for want of current records of a stage carriage. Now the applicant has submitted an application to re-consider his application. This authority has examined the application and related documents in detail. The route enquiry officer has stated that there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with the notified sector. However there is vehement objection from other operators stating that the proposed route overlaps with the notified sector and also the intermediate points are not clear, upon examination the objections were found sustainable. Hence, (1) the applicant is directed to submit a time schedule and application with all intermediate points on the proposed route. (2) Secretary, RTA is directed to re-enquire the matter and place a specific report in the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

### **Item No.31**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Karthala – Vendallur (via) Karthala Chungam, Kattipparuthi, Valancherry, Kottaram, Parambathukavu, Naduvapetty and touching Ambal via Punnachola Road, Valiyakunnu as ordinary service. The applicant was absent for the meeting. Hence the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

### **Item No.32**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Melmuri Grand Masjid – Manjeri via. Ma'din School, MMET High School, Alathur Padi, Pookkottur, Mariyad and Mullampara as ordinary service. Total route length is 12 Kms. This authority has examined the application and related documents in detail.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

The route enquiry officer has stated that there is no virgin portion in the proposed route. There is an overlapping of 3.6 Kms (Melmuri Grand Masjid to Pookottur) with the notified route Kannur – Palakkad, which is above the permissible limit as per Clause 5 (c), G.O. (P) No. 42/2009/Trans. Dtd. 14.07.2009. Hence the application for regular permit is **rejected**.

**Item No. 33**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Mampuram Maqaam – Kondooty via. V.K. Padi, Chendapuraya, Yarathumpadi, Pukayoor, Chuliyalapuray, Kalladamad School, Kollanchena, Kadappadi, Valakkandi, Kumminiparamba, Airport and Melangadi as ordinary service. Total route length is 20 Kms. This authority has examined the application and related documents in detail. As per the route enquiry report there is a virgin portion of 2.7 Kms. Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain road fitness certificate for the virgin portion mentioned above and place the application before the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 34**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Nilambur – Nellikkuth (via) Chandakkunnu, Mukkatta, Valavu, Pulli, Karulai, Palankara, Vattappadam, Vellaramunda, Karappuram, Kalkulam and touching Cherupuzha, from Karulai 2 singles via Varikkal, with Karulayi – Wandoor 2 single trips via Uppulli, Chelod, Pookkoottumpadam, Koorad, Vaniyambalam, return via. Amarambalam and halt at Karulai as ordinary service. This authority has examined the application and related documents in detail. As per the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, total route length is 68.2 Kms. There is an overlapping of 2.2 Kms with the notified route and also a virgin portion of 2 Kms. Road fitness certificate for the above route is not seen obtained and hence Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain road fitness certificate for the virgin portion mentioned above and place the application before the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 35**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit (in the vacancy of S/C KL 17 B 7173 which was operating on the route Areacode – Parappanangadi touching Edathanaattukara) to operate on the route Areakode – Parappanangadi touching Edathanattukara via. Kavanur, Manjeri, Anakkayam, Irumbuzhi, Malappuram, Vengara, Kakkad, Chemmad return via. Kottakkal, Ponmala, Nellikkuth, Pandikkad, Olippuzha, Melattur and Olappara as ordinary service. This authority has examined the application and related documents in detail. As per the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, total route length is 114.5 Kms. Route is inter-district with 3 Kms in Palakkad District, which comes under general concurrence. No virgin portion was reported in the route. However there is an overlapping of 14 Kms (Nellipparambu – Manjeri – 1 Kms; Malappuram Uphill to Kizhakkethala – 1.5 Kms; Chemmad to Changuvetty – 11.5 Kms.) with the notified portion. which is above the permissible limit as per Clause 5 (c), G.O. (P) No. 42/2009/Trans. Dtd. 14.07.2009. Hence the application for regular permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.36**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Chungathara – Edakkara – Karulai via. Muttikkadavu, Cheerakkuzhi Road, Cheerakkuzhi, Perumbilad, Perumbilad Colony, Chalikkapotti, Varakkode, Muppini, Vadakkekai, Palankara, with Chungathara – Pothukallu 2 single trips in the morning via. Kaippini, Kurubalangode, Vellimittam, Panamkayam and Nhattikulam and Chungathara – Wndoor 2 single trips in the night via. Muttikkadavu, Cheerakkuzhi Road, Cheerakkuzhi, Perumbilad, Perumbilad Colony, Vadakkekai, Palankara, Karulai, Chelod, Pookkoottumpadam, Kallamoola Palam, Koorad, Thachancode, Vaniyambalam and return via. Amarambalam as ordinary service. This authority has examined the application and proposal in detail. As per the report of the enquiry officer, total route length is 84 Kms. There is overlapping of 4.1 Kms with notified route and which is not objectionable. Field Officer has reported that there is a virgin portion of 4.9 Kms. (Perumbilad Colony to Muppini via. Chlikkappotti and Varakkode). Fitness Certificate was obtained for the road from a competent authority for the virgin portion. The proposed fare stages by the reporting officer was also examined and found satisfactory and this authority directs the Secretary RTA to publish the same in notice board inviting objections from public, if any. Hence regular permit is **granted** to the stage carriage KL 11 R 8118 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 37**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Vengara – Perumparamba – Kottakkal (via) Kuttaloor, Cheerattuparamba, Karathode, VyavasayaKendram and Chungum, Karathode MLA Padi, ThayyilakadavuPalam, Moolapparamba, Musiliyarangadi, Othukkungal and Cherukunnu as ordinary service. This authority has examined the proposal and other related documents in detail. The route enquiry officer has reported that total route length is 21.6 Kms. There is no overlapping with notified route and a virgin portion of 2.5 Kms. There is no mentioning of new fare stages for the virgin portion in the enquiry report. Road fitness certificate for the above route is not seen obtained and hence Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain road fitness certificate and also propose fare stages for the virgin portion mentioned above and place the application before the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.38**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Malappuram – Kadampuzha Temple (via) Vadakkemanna, Celur, Valiyad, Puliyattukulam, Parankimoochikkal, Kottakkal, Kavathikkalam, Kottur, Pathayakallu, Maravattam, Anakuzhiyal, Touching Thozhanur, (via) Parambilangadi, Chrussola, Variyath and a round trip from Kottakkal –Kottakkal via Kavathikkalam, Indianoor, Choonur, Kottappuram, Mannazhi, Thottappai, Thlakkappu and Villoor as ordinary

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

service. This authority had verified the application and other relevant documents. As per the enquiry report, total route length is 59.1 Kms. There is 1 Km overlapping with notified portion which is within the permissible limit. There is no virgin portion. As reported by the enquiry officer this route mainly passes through ill-served and remote area. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 39**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Parappanangadi – Chaliyamkadavu via. Ullanam, Koottumoochi, Pauthikkad, Sobhana Jn., Athanikkal, Kotakadavu, Kadalundi Jn. and Kadukka Bazar as ordinary service. This authority has examined the application and related documents in detail. As per the report of the route Enquiry Officer, this is an inter-district route; total route length is 20.7 Kms. A portion of the route (5.3 Kms) lies in Kozhikkode District and this comes under general concurrence. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 40**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kakkancherry – University – Kondotty (via) Pallikkal Bazar, Vadakkee Bazar, Puthur Pallikkal, Ankaparamba, Devathiyal, Kohinoor, Koonulmad, Valavu, Alparamba, Karipur, Airport Junction and Melengadi as ordinary service. This authority has examined the application and related documents in detail. As per the report of the route Enquiry Officer, this is an inter-district route; total route length is 19.2 Kms. There is no virgin portion and an overlapping of 0.5 Kms with notified route Kottayam \_ Kozhikkode which is within the permissible limit. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 41**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Pookkottumpadam – Parappanangadi (via) Kalikavu, Karuvarakkunde, Punnakkad, Chunkam, Thuvvur, KizhakkePandikkad, Pandikkad, Nellikkuth, Manjeri, Anakkayam, Irumbazhi, Malappuram, Panakkad, Oorkkayam, Vengara, Kooriyad, Kakkad and Chemmad as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application and relevant document in detail. As per the report of the Enquiry Officer

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2



total route length is 92 Kms. There is an overlapping of 4.5 Kms with notified route. There is vehement objection from KSRTC that the overlapping is above the permissible limit. This authority feels that a detailed enquiry is required to ascertain the exact overlapping distance with the notified route. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to conduct one more enquiry on overlapping distance with notified route and submit a specific report before the next RTA. Hence the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

#### **Item No. 42**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Thelpara Colony – Manjeri via. Thelppara, Chulliyode, Pookkoottumpadam, Chokkad, Kallamoola, Koorad, Thachancode, Vaniyambalam, Wandoor, Eriyad, Elankur, Anchachavadi, Kalikavu, Amarambalam – halt at Kalikavu as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application and relevant documents in detail. As per the report of route enquiry officer, total route length is 76.9 Kms. There is no virgin portion and a portion of the route (3 Kms) overlaps with the notified route, which is not objectionable. He also stated that the proposed route passes through ill served areas and will be beneficial to the public availing service from Manjeri Medical College. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 43**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kuttippurm – Valancherry – Puthanathani via Edachalam, Perassanur, Kavumpuram, Athavanad, Oorothupallyalil, Chembi, Pazhoor and Maniyankad as ordinary service. This authority considered the application and related documents in detail. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with the notified route. Hence regular permit is **granted** to KL 08 U 8552 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 44**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Wandoor – Kizhisseri via. Cherucode, Cherkulamthodu, Kuttippara, Manjeri, Pookolathur and Thrippanachi as ordinary service. This authority has examined the proposal and related documents in detail. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 39.5 Kms. There is no virgin portion in the proposed route and an overlapping of 1.5 Kms with the notified route. Overlapping with the notified route is not objectionable. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 45**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Mele Puthukkode – Ramanattukara – Vazhayur via. Puthukkode, Parammal, Bank Road, Vazhayur, via. Bank Road, Parammal, Azhinjillam, Karad and Kakkove as ordinary service. This authority had examined the proposal and related documents in detail. The report of the route enquiry officer revealed that there is no virgin portion on the proposed route and an overlapping of 450 meters with the notified route, which is not objectionable. There is vehement objection from the part of other operators stating that the intention of the applicant is to operate on the route Mele Puthukkode – Ramanattukara, which falls under the jurisdiction of sister RTA, Kozhikkode and in order to get a permit from RTA Malappuram, the applicant has stretched the route to Vazhayur and has proposed only namesake trips to Vazhayur. Objections are found sustainable. Secretary, RTA is directed to re-enquire the matter and submit a report with modifications in the proposed trips so as to include more trips in the sector Meleputhukkode – Vazhayur as per the observations made in the judgment of Hon. High Court in WP(c) No. 5070 of 2015 Dtd. 18.02.2015.

**Item No. 46**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kunnumpuram – Chemmad via Achanambalam, Muttumpuram, Pakkadapuraya, Madamchina, Kooriyad, Kakkad and PSMO College, Thirurangadi with Kunnumpuram – Kondotty 2 single trips in the morning via Melengadi, and with Kunnumpuram – Kadampuzha 2 single trips during night via. Cheror, Vengara, Iringallur, Kottakkal, Marakkara return single trip Kadampuzha via Kottoor as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application and related documents in detail. As per the enquiry report, the total route length is 63.6 Kms. There is no virgin portion in the proposed route and there is an overlapping of 3 Kms with the notified route, which is not objectionable. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 47**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Parappanangadi – Kondotty (via) Chemmad, Thalappara, Valiyaparamba, Pukayur, Kalladamad, Chulliyalpuraya, Kollanchina, Kadppadi, Kariyankallu, Melangadi, - halt at Pukayur To consider the application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Parappanangadi – Kondotty via. Chemmad, Thalappara, Valiyaparamba, Pukayoor, Kall with Pukayoor – Kottakkal 2 single trips in the morning via Kadappadi, Kariyankallu, Kunnumpuram, Achanambalam, Cheror, Vengara, Iringallur, and with Pukayur – Tirur one round trip during night via. Chemmad, Kodinhi, Pandimuttam, Chembra, Payyanagadi, Tirur, Ezhur, Vairangode, Patternadakkavu, Puthanathani, Kalpakancherry, Vailathur, touching Tirur, Parappuram, Theyyala,

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

Pandimuttam as ordinary service. This authority has examined the proposal and related documents in detail. The report of the enquiry officer revealed that total route length is 102.2 Kms. There is no virgin portion and an overlapping of 4.5 Kms in the notified route, which is not objectionable. As per the proposed time schedule, the stage carriage starts its operation at 3.30 a.m. in the morning and halt at 12.05 a.m. in the night. This authority observed that the proposed time schedule is not viable. The Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire and submit a more feasible and viable time schedule so as to make the service more useful for the general public and place the same in the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 48**

Duplication of agenda

**Item No. 49**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Chaliyamkadavu – Karuvanthuruthi – Feroke – Parappanangadi via. Kadalundi, Kottakkadavu, Athanikkal, ParuthikkadKoottumoochi and Mundiyanakavu as ordinary service. The application and relevant documents were considered by this authority in detail. As per the enquiry report 24.9 Kms, out of which 9.5 lies in Kozhikkode district and 15.4 Km in Malppuram. Also, the reports states that there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with the notified route. There is an objection stating that the proposed route overlaps with the notified route Ponnani – Chelari at Koottumoochi. This authority found that a detailed enquiry is needed to ascertain the details of overlapping with notified route, if any. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a fresh enquiry report from SRTTO, Tirur on the overlapping with Ponnani – Chelari notified sector, if any and place before next RTA. Hence the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 50**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Kadampuzha – Karambathur (via) Thaniyeppankunnu, Manakkalapadi, Kavumpuram, Vlancherry, Valiyakunnu, Thiruvegappura, Chembra touching Kottakkal 2 single trips via. Marakkara with Valancherry I Kaliyala 4 single trips via. Kavumpuram with Valancherry – Vendallur – Valancherry 2 round trips via. Kottaram, Vendallur – Naduvappetty, Alinchuvadu and Valancherry – Ambal – Valancherry one round trip via. Kottappuram, Ambal, Valiyakunne – halt at Valancherry as ordinary service. The applicant was absent for the meeting. Hence the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 51**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Vengara – Perumparamba – Kottakkal via. Kuttaloor, Poolapees, Karathode, Vyavasayakendram and Chungam, Karathode MLA Padi, Thayyilkadavu Palam, Moolapparamba, Musiliyarangadi, Othukkungal and Cherukunnu with 1 single trip in the early morning from Vengara to Kadampuzha – Vettichira via Iringallur, Kuzhippuram, Kavala, Othukkungal, Cherukunnu, Kottakkal, Athani and Marakkara as ordinary service. This authority has considered the application and relevance documents in

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

detail. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is no overlapping with notified route. Also, he has reported that there is a virgin portion of 2.4 Kms. from Karathode to Vyavasayakendram. It is also observed that, road fitness certificate need to be obtained from a competent authority. Secretary RTA is directed to obtain the road fitness certificate for the virgin portion mentioned in the report and place the application in the next RTA with the fare stages for the virgin portions, if required. Hence, the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

#### **Item No. 52**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Chembrasserri – Pandikkad – Manjeri – Wandoor – Pookkottumpadam via Odompatta, Thalapoliparamba, Marattappadi, Pandikkad, Nellikkuth, Manjeri, Cherani Road Junction, Elamkur, Eriyad, Wandoor, Vaniyambalam, Thaliyamkunde, Theyyampadikkuth, Thancode, Koorad and Kallamoola Bridge as ordinary service. This authority had examined the application and related documents in detail. This is an intra district permit with a route length of 78 Kms. No virgin portions reported. There is an overlapping with notified route from Manjeri to Cherani Road Junction, which is not objectionable. Hence regular permit is **granted** to KL 12 B 6321 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 53**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Moonadi Girijan Colony – Manjeri via. Myladippara Harijan Colony, Minor Bazar, Anjilangadi, Velliyancheri High School, Olappara, Kombankallu, Kambankallu Palam, Alungal, Kanhirampara, Ucharakkadavu, Melattur, Olippuzha, Kizhakke Pandikkad, Nellikuth, with halt at Pandikkad as ordinary service. This authority considered the application and perused the relevant documents. MVI has reported that the total route length is 35 Kms. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 54**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Pandikkad – Perinthalmanna with Pandikkad – Chemmanthatta 4 single trips and halt at Chemmanthatta and Perinthalmanna – Mulliakurissi 4 single trips via Oravamburam, Thottappaya, Arikandampakk, Poonathanam, Pattikkad Rly Gate and Pattikkad Chunkam as ordinary service. This authority considered the fresh permit application and verified relevant associated documents in detail. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified sector. There is vehement objection from various bus operators association that

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

Perinthalmanna – Pandikkad route is well-served. This authority is also of the opinion that more number of trips are to be operated to ill-served areas. Hence as per the directions of the judgment in WP(C) No. 5070 of 2015 dtd. 18.02.2015, directed the Secretary, RTA Malappuram to submit a time schedule with more number of trips to ill-served areas Mulliakurissi and Chemmanthatta and present before the next RTA. Hence, the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

#### **Item No. 55**

Heard the learned counsel.

This authority considered the application for variation and renewal of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 10 M 3841; perused the judgment of Hon. High Court of Kerala and verified related documents. The said stage carriage is operating on the route of Ponnani - Kuttipuram via Chamravatom jn, Nariparamba, Thandalam Kaladi, Nadakav, and via Kandanakam, Edappal, Kundukadav Jn, as OS. Regular permit 10/507/2004 valid up to 26.04.2014; and having temporary permit valid up to 26.07.2015. The permit holder applied for deviation of a single trip from C.V.junction to Nariparamba (via) Thattanpadi (touching Edappal) and Polpakara in order to exclude the notified area. C V junction to Nariparamba, which is completely excluded for private buses as per Ponnani – Chelari notification on the existing regular route Ponnani-Kuttipuram. The applicant had submitted the renewal application on time and the same could not be processed because of the overlapping with notified route Ponnani – Chelari. Now the permit holder applied for a variation so as to avoid the complete exclusion portion of notified route and to get the regular permit renewed in the verified route. This application was already placed before RTA held on 28.05.2014 vide item no. 38 and RTA held on 18.09.2014 vide item no.62 and the application for variation was rejected. In obedience to the judgment of Hon High Court WP(C) no.33559 of 2014 dtd 12.12.2014, considered the applications afresh, heard the KSRTC. As per KSRTC, they have no objection in considering the variation application. Hence,

(1) The requested variation is **granted**.

(2) The application for renewal of permit is considered and **granted through the varied route** subject to production of current records of the Stage Carriage KL 10 M 3841 within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which the grant of variation and renewal of permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 56**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to peruse the judgment of Hon. STAT M.V.A.R.P.no.88/12 dtd 03.07.2014 and for variation of regular permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 53 F 2844 operating on the route of Perinthalmanna-Kozhikode touching Alanallur (via) Thazhekkode Arakkuparamba Vettathoor and Pattikkad Kariavattom Nettukkal and Puthur on the strength of regular permit valid up to 05.07.2018. The application was already placed before the RTA held on 14.12.2011 vide Item no.31 and directed the Secretary, RTA to seek concurrence from sister RTA, Palakkad. Against the decision of RTA, the applicant approached the Hon. STAT and in M.V.A.R.P no.88/12 dtd 03.07.2014, STAT set aside the decision of RTA stating that no concurrence is required from sister RTA, Palakkad for considering this variation application and directed to consider the application afresh. In obedience to the direction of the Hon.

Court, this authority had considered the application and perused the related documents. This is a long pending application and there is chance of changes in traffic needs of common public in the curtailed portion. There is no mentioning of present traffic situation in the files. Hence, Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a fresh route enquiry report specifying the effect of curtailment in the traffic situation of the area and submit the application along with the report before the next RTA. Hence decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 57**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for regular variation of service in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-Z-7903 operating on the route Malappuram-Puramannur, touching Mankada Koottil Pulamanthole via Vattalur Makkaraparamba Vadakkangara Kodur Kuruva Padaparamba Karekkad Edayur Vengad Valiyakunnu and Kolathur Halt at Vattallur as OS so as to extend 2 single trips from keerankundu to Chattiparamba via Illathapadi, Meenarkuzhi, and Chanjal by curtailment of the route from Keerankundu to Mankada koottil and for providing 2 additional single trips in the early morning between Vattallur and Padaparamba via Cherukulamba on the existing regular route. This authority has considered the application and perused the related documents in detail. Route enquiry officer has reported that the curtailment will adversely affect travelling public of Mankada Koottil Area. He has also reported that the additional trips will be beneficial for the public in new sector. However there is no remedy to the sector where curtailment is adversely affecting. Hence this authority is not satisfied that the variation will serve the convenience of the public. Hence this application for variation is **rejected** as per u/s 80(3) of the MVA, 1988.

**Item No. 58**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to consider the application for variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 55 A 2783 on the route Parappanangadi- Malappuram Via Chemmad Kakkad Kooriyad Pandikasala Muthalamad Vengara And Panakkad With Halt At Muthalamd. Regular Permit no. 10/822/2005 and is valid up to 29-08-2015. This authority has considered the application and perused the documents in detail. The proposed variation includes extension, deviation and curtailment of the existing route. Existing route length of the permit is 62.95 Kms and after variation it is 75.75 Kms. Route curtailment is for 12.2 Kms. The extension is from the middle of the route as if a new route. There is also a virgin portion in the extended route. By affecting this variation, it is observed that the entire characteristic of the existing route will be changed. This will adversely affect the travelling public of the existing route. Hence this authority is not satisfied that the variation will serve the convenience of the public. Hence this application for variation is **rejected** u/s 80(3) of the MVA, 1988.

**Item No. 59**

Heard the learned counsel.

This an application to consider the application for variation of the permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 55 D 116 on the Route Thavanoor - Puramannur Via Ayinkalam Kuttippuram Moodal Valancherry Kodumudi Puramannur Cheroopara Vengad Kulamangalam. The permit holder applied for curtailment of the route from Kuttipuram to Thavanur without changing existing timings and change the halting place to Kuttippurm from Thavanur. Regular Permit (10/543/1999) is valid up to

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

19/08/2019. The report of the route enquiry officer revealed that the portion sought to curtail is overlapping Kottayam- Kozhikkode notified sector and also the officer stated that the withdrawal of the existing trips may adversely affect the travelling public due to restriction in granting fresh permits in the notified sectors. This authority also feels that the curtailment of a major portion in the notified sector by a private stage carriage will deprive the interest of common people travelling through the route, since there is no chance to provide a further traveling facility by proving another private stage carriage permit or by variation or extension of an existing permit due to the restrictions for introducing new permits through the notified route for private stage carriages. Under these circumstances, it is evident that the variation will seriously affect the travelling facilities of the public and hence the application for variation is **rejected** u/s 80(3) of MVA 1988.

#### **Item No. 60**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to consider the application for variation of the permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 10 Q 9163 on the Route Puthupramd-Kadampuzh Touching Cholakund And Kottapura Via Avs College Chankuvetti Kottakkal Puthanathani Touching Cholakund Via Pottippara And Kottapuram Via Indanoor as ordinary service. Regular permit 10/861/2001 is valid upto 18/04/2016. The proposed variation involves a curtailment, extension and additional trips. The route enquiry officer reported that the proposed extension is through ill-served areas and hence it enhances the travelling facility of the travelling public. However there is no mentioning on the effect of curtailment to the common public. There is also a mentioning of 1.4 Kms. virgin portions in the proposed route. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to (1) obtain a specific report regarding the effect of curtailment for the common public of the route and (2) obtain a road fitness certificate from a competent authority and place before the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

#### **Item No: 61**

Heard the learned counsel.

To reconsider the application for regular variation of permit and to consider the application for temporary permit of four month in the variation sought route in respect of the s/c KL 55 B 3132 operating on the route Kottakkal-Purathur Via Kozhichena, Karingapara, Vylathur, Tirur, B P Angadi, Alathiyur, Alungal, Managalam, and Karingapara as OS (10/816/2005). Now the permit holder has applied for the regular variation of the permit so as to avoid the over lapping in the complete exclusion portion between ALATHIYUR AND CHAMRAVATTOM the complete exclusion portion in the the notified route vide G.O.(P) No.3/2014/Tran. Dated 22.01.2014. The proposal is also for the addition and extension of trips. There is also an application for a temporary permit for four months through the varied route. This authority has considered both the applications in detail and perused the related documents. The route enquiry officer reported that there is no virgin portion and the proposed routed does not overlap with any of the notified routes. Hence (1) the application for variation is **granted** subject to production of current records of KL 55 B 3132 within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time. (2) This authority has considered the application for temporary permit through the varied route and is not fully convinced

on the need of the same. The route enquiry officer also had not mentioned the necessity of a temporary permit. Hence the application for temporary permit is **rejected**.

**Item No: 62**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 10 AF 6212 operating on the route Cholakundukadavu - Valancherry Touching Puthuparamba Karottangadi Via A V College Kottackal Puthanathani Vettichira Kanhipura Kadampuzha Moolanchola Marakkara Kuttur Pathayakallu Kavathikulam And Athani As O S with regular permit 10/802/2004 valid upto 15/01/2019. This authority has considered the application and examined the related documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is a curtailment of 14.5 kms and it is well served area. The deviation from Kavumpuram to Thuvappara via Thaniyappan Kunnu is ill served sector, only three stage carriages conducting service on this route. So the proposed variation is beneficial for travelling public. Hence this authority feels that the advantageous acquired through the proposed deviation of trips outweigh the disadvantageous due to curtailment. Hence the application for variation of permit with respect to KL 10 AF 6212 is **granted** as per the provisions of section 80(3) MVA subject to production of current records of the above said stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No: 63**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is the application for variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 18 3456 on the route Vengara-Karathode. Regular permit 10/26702/2006 is valid up to 04/09/2017. The permit holder applied for providing 8 additional single trips from Kalpathi to Kottumalaparamba via Venkolam Navodaya schoolpadi by curtailment of the route from Kalpathi to Karathode without changing existing timing via Mampeethi on the existing regular route. This authority has considered the application and perused the related documents. From the application and as per the report the permit holder has proposed to curtail the entire trips to Karathode from Kalpathi. This authority is convinced that this will adversely affect the travelling public of that area. Hence the variation application with respect to stage carriage KL 18 3456 is **rejected** as per section 80(3) of MVA 1989.

**Item No. 64**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 17 A 3874 on the route Pookkiparamba - Thennala via Arakkal Angadi as OS. Regular Permit 10/527/20145 valid upto 29-01-2019. The permit holder is requesting for extension of route by curtailing a portion of the route. This authority has considered the application and examined the related documents in detail. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is virgin portion from Thennala- Pocker peedika (0.9 Kms) and from Tanur Railway Junction to Tanur (0.2 Km) (alternative route). Also, there is an overlapping with the Ponnani -Chelari notified route. The road fitness certificate is

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2



not found obtained. Hence the application for variation of permit is **adjourned** for want of the same. Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a road fitness certificate from a competent authority and place the application in the next RTA for taking a decision.

**Item No. 65**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 10 M 284 on the route Moolaparambu - Kottakkal Touching Puthuparamba, Kadampuzha, Mattathoor, Parappuram, Parammal School And Pottikkallu as OS. Regular Permit 10/139/2000 valid up to 23/10/2015. The permit holder has applied for extension and curtailment of existing route. This authority has considered the application and related documents in detail. The enquiry office had reported that the bus service from moolaparamba to karathode via newly built thayyilakkadavu bridge across kadalundippuzha which is recently opened for traffic and service through virgin area will be beneficial to the travelling public. At the same time he has also mentioned that the trip curtailment on the Munambath –Mattathurangadi will be disadvantageous to the public of that area. Also there is a virgin portion in this (0.8 KM from Moolaparamba to MLA Padi). The Secretary RTA is directed to obtain a specific remark whether the advantage on the extension will outweigh that of the curtailment portion of the route and place the matter in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**

**Item No. 66**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 11 M 7919 on the route Navodaya- Kondotty- Touching Kottakkal- Via Vengara-Kunnumpuram And Koomankallu-Bridge as ordinary service. Regular Permit 10/651/2003 Valid up to 19/09/2018. The permit holder applied for extension from Navodaya Venkolam to Navodaya Venkolam Via Vrindavan Colony (two round trip) on the existing regular route. This authority has considered the application and related documents in detail. The route enquiry officer has reported that there is an extension of 2.30 Km from Venkulam to Navodaya via Vrindavan Colony and it is a virgin portion. No road fitness certificate is produced for the virgin portion. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to obtain a road fitness certificate from a competent authority and place the matter before the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 67**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 04 R 1897 on the route Kondotty – Kozhikkode. Regular Permit 10/143/2001 valid up to 16/05/2016. This authority has considered the application in detail. The applicant had applied for additional trip, extension of route and change of halting place. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed variation does not include any overlapping with notified portion or virgin portion and will increase the existing facilities. However in the proposed timing it seems the some of the existing timing at Kozhikkode – Edavannappara is being changed unnecessarily. The applicant is directed to submit a revised time proposal without disturbing the existing time

schedule in Edavannappara – Kozhikkode sector and place before the next RTA. Hence the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 68**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-R-2841 operating on the route Pullancheri-Nanniyangadi-Manjeri covered by Regular Permit no.10/863/2009 valid up to 28/09/2018. The application for variation is to extend from Nanniyangadi to Puzhankavu for a distance of 2.100 Kms (14 singles) and halt at Puzhankavu. This authority has considered the application in detail and perused the related documents. The route enquiry officer has stated that the proposed route passes through ill served area and the variation is beneficial to public and students. Hence the application for variation of permit with respect to KL 10-R-2841 is **granted** subject to production of current records of the above said stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 69**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-U-2426 with regular permit valid up to 18/06/2016 is operating on the route Perinthalmanna-Manjeri. The proposal is to change the halting place from Perinthalmanna to Kadannamanna on the existing route. This authority has considered the application and related documents in detail. The report of the route enquiry officer stated that halting and starting the service from interior place will be beneficial to the travelling public. Hence the application for variation of permit with respect to KL-10-U-2426 is **granted** subject to production of current records of the above said stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time

**Item No. 70**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-AC-7922 having regular permit valid up to 09/01/2018 is operating on the route Pulamanthole-Prappanangadi touching Tanur, Cholakund, Kuzhippuram. The application is to provide additional trip from Chemmad to Parappanangadi by curtailment of Chemmad to Tanur on the existing route. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the related documents. As per the enquiry report this proposal includes additional trip (6.2km) from Chemmad to Parappanangadi and curtailment from Chemmad to Tanur (11.3kms). The officer has also reported that both the areas have insufficient stage carriage operations. As per the proposed time schedule the stage carriage starts service at 5.00 a.m and halt at 12.45 a.m. Hence this authority feels that a detailed enquiry is required with regard to:

- (1) Feasibility of the time schedule
- (2) Effect of curtailment in the entire sector

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to re-enquire the matter and place a detailed, specific report in the next RTA. The decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 71**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-AD-7675 is operating on the route Kottakkal-Malappuram having permit no. 10/832/2002 valid up to 23/07/2017. This authority has considered the application and related documents in detail. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposal includes curtailment, additional trips and variation of route. He has also reported that Curtailment of Kottakkal –Mattathur trips will adversely affect the public. Hence this authority feels that this is a violation of section 80(3) ii of MV Act. Hence the application for variation is **rejected**.

**Item No. 72**

Heard the learned counsel.

This to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-V-7736 is operating on the route Manjeri-Tirur. The permit holder has applied for variation of permit so as to provide additional trip Malappuram to Manjeri (via) Irumbuzhi as extension of the 7<sup>th</sup> single trip and return single trip from Manjeri to Malappuram touching Perimbalam by extending the route from Panayi to Perimbalam on the existing route without curtailment. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the related documents. There is vehement objection from KSRTC against the grant of this variation application. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is overlapping on notified sector from Collector's Bungalow to Malappuram (1km) with Palakkad-Kannur notified route vide GO(P) No. 42/2009/Trans Dtd. 14.07.2009. As per clause 19 of the notification, the right to operate any new service and to increase the trips in the notified routes or its portions will be reserved exclusively for the STUs. Hence the application for variation with respect to the stage carriage KL-10-V-7736 is **rejected**.

**Item No. 73**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-AF-4469 is operating on the route Puthiyodathparamba-Kondotty. The application is to rearrangement of two single trips on the Pulikkal-Valiyaparamba sector and time changes on the existing route without curtailment. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the relevant documents. The route enquiry officer has reported that the proposed variation includes rearrangement of trips by changing the existing timings; there is no route curtailment or trip curtailment and also no new portion is originated. He has also stated that the time changes will be beneficial to the travelling public. Hence the application for variation of permit with respect to 10-AF-4469 is **granted** subject to production of current records of the above said stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 74**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-Y-9099 is operating on the route Pookkottumpadam-Kozhikkode as LSOS having permit valid up to 12/06/2019. The proposal is to deviate the 3<sup>rd</sup> single trip via Thiruvalli instead of via Elankur on the existing route. This authority has considered the application in detail and perused related documents. The route enquiry officer has reported that the deviation of service will be beneficial for the public and the curtailment will be disadvantageous to the public of that area. This authority strongly feels that this report is not supportive to take a proper approach to the application. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire the matter in detail and report whether the advantage is outweighed the disadvantage with adequate statistical evidence and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item no. 75**

Heard the learned counsel.

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to consider regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-R-4368 operating on the route Manjeri-Emangad touching Mampad. The proposed application includes deviation of trip from Emangad to Wandoor via parakkulam(4.5km) and route curtailment from Emangad via Santhi to Vaniyambalam (4.5km). As per the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, variation and additional trip will provide more facilities to the travelling public and hence will be advantageous to the travelling public; but he couldn't establish how the additional trips will compensate the curtailment in the existing route. He has not even produced any statistical evidence to support his claim. This authority strongly feels that this report is not supportive to take a proper approach to the application. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire the matter in detail and report whether the advantage is outweighed the disadvantage with adequate statistical evidence and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 76**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-P-5307 is operating on the route Mavoor-Edavannappara – Elamaram. (Permit No.10/4121/2014 valid up to 20/09/2019). The proposed application is for variation of permit so as to provide 6 additional single trips on the Mavoor-Edavannappara sector and for deviating return single trips from Elamaram to Edavannappara(via) Konnamakham, Cheruvadikadavu ,Vettathur and Erattamuzhi on the existing route. This authority has discussed the matter in detail and perused the related documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer the curtailment will affect the travelling public of that area and also, this authority is not convinced the purport of the applicant. Hence this is a violation of section 80(3) ii of MV Act. Hence the application for variation is **rejected**.

**Item No. 77**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to reconsider the application for regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-S-8440 operating on the route Karad-Ayilassery

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

touching Manjeri, Thaliyamkundu, Poongodu, Thodikapulam. The permit holder has filed an application for variation of permit so as to deviate one single trip from Thachangode to Kalikavu via Panampoil, Maliyekkal and Udirampoil instead of via Anchavidi on the existing regular route. The matter was placed before RTA held on RTA held on 18/09/2014 vide item no. 48 and rejected by the reason that there were vehement objections from the travelling public against the curtailment of trips. Against the rejection the permit holder of the S/C KL-10-S-8440 produced Judgment in MVAA NO.282/2014 of Hon. STAT dated 31/12/2014 in which the Hon. Court has directed to consider the application afresh. This authority has considered the application afresh and perused the judgment from Hon. Court. Hence in obedience to the judgment of Hon. STAT, the application for variation of permit with respect to KL-10-S-8440 is **granted** subject to production of current records of the above said stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 78**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for regular variation of service in r/o the stage carriage KL-08-AH-8199 operating on the route Kozhikkode-Ramanattukara as LSOS. The application is for extending the last trip from Anjilangadi to Edathanattukara on the existing regular route without curtailment and without changing existing timings. This authority has considered the above request and perused the related documents including the judgment from Hon. High Court of Kerala in WP (C) No. 34212/2015 dated 17/12/2014. The route enquiry officer has reported that extending the 3<sup>rd</sup> single trip from Anjilangadi to Edathanattukara will be advantageous to the travelling public. Hence the application for extending the last trip from Anjilangadi to Edathanattukara on the existing regular route without curtailment and without changing existing timings other than that of the varied portion with respect to KL-08-AH-8199 is **granted** subject to production of current records of the above said stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No. 79**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-AK-3921 operating on the route Chulliyode-Calicut Medical College as LSOS. The proposed variation is to curtail the route from Calicut bus stand to Calicut Medical College without changing existing timing on the regular route permit. This authority has considered the application and perused the related documents. As per the proposed variation it is seen that a trip from Kozhikkode to Kozhikkode Medical College is curtailed. Medical College is being a main and important destination for the common people and curtailment will adversely affect the interest of travelling public due to deprivation of their travelling facility to the Medical College. However the enquiry officer has reported that Calicut bus stand to Calicut medical college is well served by city service and other services and proposed curtailment may not affect on the travelling facility on the sector. However he has not produced any statistical evidence

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

to support his claim. This authority strongly feels that this report is not supportive to take a proper approach to the application. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to re-enquire the matter in detail and report whether the route from Calicut Bus stand to Medical College is well served and the proposed curtailment will not affect the common people with adequate statistical evidence and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 80**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-AR-9277 operating on the route Palakkad-Kozhikkode as LSOS. The proposal is to provide an additional trip from Kozhikkode to Palakkad (after existing halting time) to change the alternate halting place on alternate days by conducting 4 single trips on the existing regular route. This authority has considered the application in detail and perused related documents. It is observed that for the stage carriage KL-10-AR-9277 having permit expired on 02/11/2013 and having temporary permit U/S 87(1) d which is valid up to 05/05/2015. Also, the request is to provide additional trips through the notified route Palakkad-Kannur notified vide GO (P) No. 42/2009/Trans Dtd. 14.07.2009. The variation application is filed in respect of permit which has already expired on 02/11/2013 and is not valid now. The variation of a permit can only be entertained during its period of validity. Hence this variation application is now not maintainable and is **adjourned**. The Secretary, RTA is directed to place the application before this authority after the renewal of the permit.

**Item No. 81**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-Y-8354 operating on the route Puthiyodathparamba –Areacode touching Edavannappara via Panachipalliyali, Pallipuraya, Neerad, Kondotty and Kizhisseri as ordinary service. The proposed variation is to extend from Edavannappara to Vilayil via Cheacode(2 single trips) and from Edavannappara to Vazhakkad via Valillapuzha (2 single trips) without curtailment or without changing the existing timings. This authority has considered the application and examined the related documents. As per the report of the field officer, there is no virgin portion or no overlapping with the notified sector. He has also reported that the proposed additional trips will be advantageous to the travelling public. Hence the application for variation of permit with respect to KL-10-Y-8354 is **granted** subject to production of current records of the above said stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 82**

Heard the learned counsel.

To consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-59-E-69 operating on the route Kozhikkode – Palakkad as LSFP. The regular permit expired on 15/02/2015 and having Temporary permit u/s 87(1) d valid up to 15/06/2015. Stop memo was issued to the S/C on 20/03/2015 since the KSRTC has initiated a service in place of the expired permit of the stage carriage KL 59 E 69. The

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

permit holder of the S/C KL-59-E-69 had applied for variation of permit so as to convert the existing service into LSOS without changing existing trips and timings. The representative of the KSRTC had submitted that they have taken over the said permit and currently operating service in the said route and in the timings of the expired permit with respect to the stage carriage KL 59 E 69. Hence there is no existence for the said permit and the variation application is filed in respect of permit which has no existence and is not valid now. The variation of a permit can only be entertained during its period of validity and in existence. Hence this variation application is not maintainable and is **rejected**.

**Item No. 83**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-53-D-2442 operating on the route Kondotty-Aakkode. The proposed variation application is to provide additional trip between Edavannappara and Kizhisseri via Mundakkal by curtailment of the Edavannappara-Vazhayur on the existing regular route. The application was considered in detail and perused the related documents. The enquiry officer has reported that the curtailment of the Edavannappara-Vazhayur (14.2km) is not advisable and that will affect travelling public. Hence this authority feels that this is a violation of section 80(3) ii of MV Act. Hence the application for variation is **rejected**.

**Item No. 84**

Heard the learned counsel.

This to reconsider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-P-963 operating on the route Kizhisseri-Manjei. The application is to reduce the third single trip in between Manjeri-Kizhissei and first single trip between Kizhisseri-Thrippanachi and adding three single trips in between Manjeri-Thrippanachi and halt at Thrippanachi. The application was considered by this authority and perused the documents. However while examining the time schedule, all the proposed trips were not seen included. Hence the Secretary RTA is directed to submit a specific time sheet with all proposed trips and place before next RTA. The decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 85**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of permit in r/o the stage carriage KL-55-3319 is operating on the route Kondotty-Keezhuparamaba touching Edavannappara, Vazhakkad, Othai and University halt at Kizhisseri. The permit holder has applied for variarion of permit so as to deviate trip from Edavannappara to Areacode instead of the trip from Edavannappara to Areacode via Keezhuparamba and one additional trip from Kondotty to Edavannappara and Edavannappara to Kizhisseri by curtailment of existing halting trip from Kondotty to Kizhisseri and some changes in the existing timings on the existing regular route. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the related documents. The route enquiry officer has reported that the trip curtailment will adversely affect public of that area. Hence this authority feels that this is a violation of section 80(3) ii of MV Act. Hence the application for variation is **rejected**.

**Item No. 86**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-AP-3486 having permit valid up to 25/08/2016 and operating on the route Chappanangadi-Manjeri. The application is for extend the route from Manjei to Pookkolathur; Tirur to Chappapadi and for curtailment of the route from Manjeri to Amayur for changing halting place to Manjeri. The is authority has considered the application, perused the judgment from Hon. High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No. 6923/2015 of Hon .High Court. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the extension from Manjeri to Kizhiseri Road Junction on the extension portion for a distance of 1.3 Kms overlaps the notified route Kozhikkode – Vazhikkadavu notified vide no. notified route vide GO(P) No. 42/2009/Trans Dtd. 14.07.2009. He has also reported that the curtailment between ill seved area from Amayoor road to Amayoor and Chappapadi to Tanur will seriously affect the travelling general public of the area. Hence as per the report the proposed variation violates 80(3) of MV Act. As per clause 19 of the notification, the right to operate any new service and to increase the trips in the notified routes or its portions will be reserved exclusively for the STUs. Also this authority feels that the proposed variation is a violation of section 80(3) ii of MV Act. Hence the application for variation with respect to the stage carriage KL-10-AP-3486 is **rejected**.

**Item No. 87**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL 10 X 6237 operating on the route Pengamukku – Puduponnani. The proposed variation is for extending the service from Edappal to Thirunavaya Navamukunda temple with Edappal –Kuttipuram by curtailment of the route from Edappal to Puthuponnani on the existing regular route and conversion of the service as LSOS. This authority has considered the matter and perused the related documents. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, the proposed route overlaps with notified route vide GO(P) No. 42/2009/Trans Dtd. 14.07.2009. As per clause 19 of the notification, the right to operate any new service and to increase the trips in the notified routes or its portions will be reserved exclusively for the STUs. Hence the application for variation with respect to the stage carriage KL 10 X 6237 is **rejected**. Also, this authority considered the request for the conversion of category of service from ordinary service to Limited Stop Ordinary Service. It is clear that this conversion will reduce the number of stops and this will affect the travelling facility of the common people. The applicant has not submitted the proposed list of stops as LSOS. Also the remarks of the enquiry officer are not specific in this matter. Moreover such a category of service does not exist as per law. Hence the application for conversion of service with respect to the stage carriage KL 10 X 6237 from Ordinary Service to Limited Stop Ordinary Service is **rejected**.

**Item No. 88**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2



**Item No. 89**

Heard the learned counsel.

This renewal application already placed before the RTA held on 12.11.2014 vide Item No. 25. This authority has allowed the Secretary RTA to seek concurrence from sister RTAs Kozhikkode and Thrissur referring the notes placed before this authority. As per the notes submitted by the Secretary, RTA, it is informed that the category of service was mistakenly marked as LSOS instead of LSFP. The clerical oversight was condoned and the decision taken by this authority held on 12.11.2014 in Item No. 25 is revoked. As per clause 18 of GO (p) No.73/2013/Trans. Dtd. 16-7-2013 issued as SRO No.555/2013 Government had approved a scheme specifying that Fast Passenger Services, Super Express, Super Deluxe and Luxury services should be run and operated by the State Transport undertaking and excluding the Private stage carriage services by invoking powers conferred by sub section (3) of Section 100 of MV Act 1988. The recent order No.10689/B1/2014,Trans stated that The Regional Transport Authority shall not issue any new permits in the routes covered by the above Schemes until further orders. Since the class of service is LSFP, the application for renewal of permit with respect to the stage carriage KL-08-BF-2399 is **rejected** and the Secretary RTA is directed to inform KSRTC to take over the permit .

**Item No. 90**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No.91**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Thirur and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No.92**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Thirur, Kozhikkode and Vatakara after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No.93**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 94**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTA, Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

**Item No. 95**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 96**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 97**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 98**

Heard the learned counsel.

- 1) Perused the judgment of Hon. STAT in MVAA No.34/2015 dated 20/02/2015.
- 2) The application for renewal re-considered by this authority. As per Clause 18 of GO (p) No.73/2013/Trans. Dtd. 16-7-2013 issued as SRO No.555/2013 Government had approved a scheme specifying that Fast Passenger Services, Super Express, Super Deluxe and Luxury services should be run and operated by the State Transport undertaking and excluding the Private stage carriage services by invoking powers conferred by sub section (3) of Section 100 of MV Act 1988. The recent order from No.10689/B1/2014,Trans it is stated that The Regional Transport Authority shall not issue any new permits in the routes covered by the above Schemes until further orders. Since the class of service is LSFP, the application for renewal of permit with respect to the stage carriage KL-10-AH-2918 covered by a regular permit No.10/549/2000 valid up to 24/02/2015 is **rejected** and the Secretary RTA is directed to inform KSRTC about the expiry of the said permit and to take over the permit on urgent basis.

**Item No. 99**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the belated application of renewal of Stage carriage permit (Permit No.10/615/2000 valid up to 08/02/2015) in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-M-7955 operating on the route Chungam –Pazhmallur,Konompara,Malappuram. The application was considered and perused the related documents. The delay occurred in submitting the renewal application has been condoned. The Secretary, RTA is permitted to renew the regular permit with respect to the stage carriage KL 10 M 7955, if there is no other legal impediments.

**Item No. 100**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTA, Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

**Item No. 101**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTA, Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 102**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 103**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 104**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the application for renewing the permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 65 2662 on the route Kozhikkode-Kuttipuram as LSOS. The stage carriage is now conducting service on the strength of temporary permit u/s 87(1) d. The current TP is valid up to 04/08/2015. This application has been considered by this authority in its meeting held on 23/07/2013 in Item No.35 and allowed the Secretary, RTA to seek concurrence from sister RTA, Kozhikkode. As per the proceedings of RTA Kozhikkode held on 06/02/2014 vide Item No. 9 concurrence for renewal of regular permit in respect of KL 10 Z 2030(NOW KL 65 2662) on the route Kozhikkode-Kutippuram was granted.

Part of the route with respect to the stage carriage KL 65 2662 overlaps with the notified route Ponnani – Chelari. This authority has verified the report of the field officer and as per the report this route is not overlapping the complete exclusion portion of the notified route. However there is no mentioning of the overlapping with the partial exclusion portion as per Clause 5(c) of GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire the matter in detail and report whether the overlapping of the route is objectionable as per the condition in the partial exclusion of clause 5(c) of GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014 and place the detailed specific report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 105**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is to consider the applications for renewal of permit and replacement of vehicle by a later model stage carriage operating on the route of Perinthalmanna-Manalaya Harijan colony Pattambi via Anamangad Perinthalmanna Pulamantole Koppam as Ordinary Service. Regular permit in respect of this stage carriage (10/55/2004) is expired on 15.04.2014. Regular permit in respect of this stage carriage is under suspended animation w e f 04.10.2013 as per the WP(C) 23071/12 dtd 12.09.2013. On 20.03.2014 permit holder has submitted application for renewal of permit without

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

offering a vehicle vides ref no.10/45710/2014. Renewal application was considered by Secretary RTA and directed the permit holder to submit a vehicle for renewing the permit under suspended animation. In response, the permit holder submitted an application for replacement of vehicle by later model vehicle KL 53 H 1186 vide ref. no. 10/30927/2015 dtd 21.02.2015.

This authority has considered the application for renewal of permit and replacement by the permit holder and perused the related documents including the complaints from other en-route operators. This authority could not find any legal impediment to grant the renewal and replacement applications of the permit holder and hence the objections were overruled. The application for renewal and replacement of the permit no. 10/55/2004 by the stage carriage KL 53 H 1186 is **granted**.

**Item No. 106**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 107**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 108**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 109**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 110**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 111**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 112**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 113**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 114**

Heard the second applicant and death transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 115**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 116**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 117**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 118**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 119**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 120**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 121**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 122**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

**Item No. 123**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 124**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 125**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 126**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 127**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 128**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 129**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 130**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 131**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 132**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 133**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 134**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 135**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 136**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 137**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 138**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 139**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 140**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 141**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

**Item No. 142**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 143**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 144**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 145**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 146**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 147**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 148**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 149**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 150**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 151**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2



**Item No. 152**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 153**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 154**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 155**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 156**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 157**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 158**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 159**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 160**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 161**

Heard the applicant and transfer of permit (by death) is **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 162**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 163**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 164**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 165**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 166**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 167**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 168**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 169**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 170**

Heard both the applicants

This is to ratify the order of District Collector, Malappuram to effect the transfer of permit of the stage carriage KL 55 3459 which was pending since 2007 for a case of

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

dispute over the signature in respect of KL 55 3459 on the route Tanur-Kozhikode with regular permit expired on 28.12.2010 from the name of the first applicant Sri. Mohammed Abdulla, Mumtaz manzil, Putharikkal house, Parappanangadi, Malappuram (represented by the power of attorney holder Mohammed Siraj) to that of the second applicant, Sri. Mohammed ali Haji, Kuttityil house, Pantharangadi, Thirurangadi, Malappuram. This authority has considered the application and perused the documents in detail. The decision taken by the District Collector, Malappuram in this regard has been **ratified**.

**Item No. 171**

Heard both the applicants. The application is re-considered and since the regular permit is renewed and valid, transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 172**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 173**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 174**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 175**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any and also subject to the endorsement of hire agreement details in the registration certificate of the stage carriage u/s 51(1) of MV Act.

**Item No. 176**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 177**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 178**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

**Item No. 179**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 180**

(1) Perused the judgment of Hon. STAT in MVARP No. 14/2015 Dated 28.02.2015

(2) In obedience to the judgment considered the request of sister RTA Palakkad for the concurrence for Renewal of regular permit valid up to 18/09/2009 in respect of S/C KL 09 X 1328 (C4/75863/09/P) Operating on the route Govindapuram – Kozhikkode via Kollangode, Palakkad, Mannarkkad, Perintalmanna, Malappuram, Kondotty & Ramanattukara as LSOS for a period of 5 years. **Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP(C) 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court

**Item No. 181**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court

**Item No. 182**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court

**Item No. 183**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court

**Item No. 184**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 185**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 186**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 187**

Heard.

This is an application to grant a bus stop near to V.H.M. Higher Secondary School, Morayur, Malappuram in Kozhikkode – Palakkad National Highway for all Limited Stop Ordinary and Fast Passenger bus services. Heard the applicants and perused the documents. The enquiry officer has not recommended the approval for a bus stop for LSOS and FP services at the requested place. As per the report, the school is close to Morayur town (around 150 – 200 Mts) where is a bus stop for all FP & LSOS buses. Moreover, as far as private stage carriages are concerned, list of stops for Limited Stop Ordinary Service and Fast Passenger Services are proposed by the applicant at the time of applying for the permit. If there are certain stage carriages which are to be stopped near to V.H.M. Higher Secondary School, Morayur at present, the Secretary RTA can take action to stop such stage carriages in this stop. For KSRTC buses, the stops are decided by the State Transport Corporation and the petitioners are free to approach the corporation for remedial steps.

**Item No. 188**

Heard.

**Granted** a bus stop near to Sree Valluvanad Vidya Bhavan, Perinthalmanna for all Ordinary Bus Services subject to the construction of a bus waiting shed as per the existing norms.

**Item No. 189**

This is an application to consider the request for providing necessary preliminary sanction to construct an additional Private Bus stand at Areakoce, by Areakoce Grama Panchayath. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the related documents. The field officer has recommended the construction of a larger bus stand at Areakoce and the proposed site is suitable for the construction of the bus stand. However, out of the 90 cents of the proposed site, 35 cents is plantain field and remaining is paddy field. The paddy field needs to be filled and leveled for the construction of the bus stand. As per the present norms and rules filling and leveling of paddy fields needs the concurrence from revenue department. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to seek opinion and sanction from the respective revenue authorities for filling and leveling the proposed paddy field and place before the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 190**

Heard.

This is to consider the request for constructing Auto Parking Stand at Thottasserriyara, Kannamangalam Grama Panchayath as per the decision of the Panchayath Board. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposal for constructing an auto stand at Thottasserriyara is beneficial to the general public and road users. Hence this authority is **granting preliminary sanction** for the construction of an auto parking stand in a convenient place at Thottasserryara, Kannamangalam Grama Panchayath in obedience to the direction of Hon. High Court and with the concurrence from the Traffic Regulatory Committee (with the representatives of PWD, Police, Panchayath and Motor Vehicles Department) of the area. Once the construction is completed, the applicant is directed to submit an application before this authority for final approval.

**Item No. 191**

Heard.

This is to consider the complaint against the re-arrangements of traffic system by diverting the route of private stage carriages to operate through another road at Wandoor and also to peruse the judgment of Hon. High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No. 32001 of 2014 (A) Dated. 06.03.2015. This authority has considered the matter in its meeting held on 04.02.2015 in item no. 91 and this authority has directed the traffic regulatory committee, Wandoor to re-consider the modifications by hearing all aggrieved parties and place before this authority for final approval. However the applicants were approached Hon. High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No. 32001 of 2014 (A) Dated. 06.03.2015. In the judgment of the above writ petition, Hon. High Court has directed this authority to give due weightage to the observations made by the Advocate Commission. In these circumstances this authority here by directs the traffic regulatory committee to give due weightage to the observations made by the advocate commission while deciding the traffic regulations in this regard. The traffic regulatory committee, Wandoor is instructed to make available all its members, the representatives of KSRTC and all aggrieved parties while deciding the traffic regulations. The committee shall reach convalescence and place before this authority for final approval.

**Item No. 192**

Heard.

This is an application for re-fixation of fare stages in the existing routes Perinthalmanna – Karingallathani; Karinkallathani – Vettathur; Karinkallathani – Aalipparambu and Karinkallathani – Kambram. This authority has considered the application and examined the related documents. The route enquiry officer has submitted the existing and proposed fare stages with a detailed sketch. However this authority has observed that the enquiry officer reported only the existing stage and stages to be re-fixed. The effect of changing the fare stages and its feasibility is not seen reported by the field officer. It is also not reported that whether the existing fare stages are already been fixed by the RTA. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to conduct a detailed enquiry on the above matters and publish the new proposed fare stages calling objections from all affected parties and settle the objections in accordance with the law and place the specific report on the necessasity of re-fixing the farestage in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 193**

Heard.

This is an application to peruse the judgment from Hon. High Court of Kerala WP(C) 10106 of 2015 Dtd. 27.03.2015 and to consider the request of Malappuram District

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2

Bus Operators Organisation, Areekode Unit Committee on restricting illegal service operation ('parallel service operation') of Autorikshaws in Areekode and Vazhakkad areas. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the judgment from Hon. High Court of Kerala in WP(C) 10106 of 2015 Dtd. 27.03.2015. Hon. High Court has directed this authority to consider Ex. P1 (the representation submitted by the applicants) complaint preferred by the petitioners and deal with the same with all seriousness it deserves. There was also a direction to the third respondent (Secretary, RTA Malappuram) to implement the direction of the first respondent passed on Ex. P1 complaint as directed above. In this circumstances, the Chairman, RTA hereby direct the third respondent, The Secretary, RTA, Malappuram to chart out a special drive (checking programme) in the affected area and report to the Chairman, RTA within one month from the date of communication of this decision.

### **Supplementary Item No. 1**

Heard.

This is an application to peruse the judgment from Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WP(C) no.13103 of 2015 dtd 28.04.2015 and also to consider the application for variation of regular permit and issuance of a temporary permit (as LSOS) in respect of the stage carriage KL 50 C 2244 operating on the route of Kozhikode-Palakkad as LSFP. Regular permit (10/541/1995) expired on 02.05.2015 and having temporary permit valid up to 02.09.2015. This authority had discussed the matter in detail and persuaded the judgment in WP(C) no.13103 of 2015 dtd 28.04.2015

(1) The permit holder applied for varying the fast passenger service on the above route as LSOS without changing the existing timings and existing trips. As per Clause 18 of GO (p) No.73/2013/Trans. Dtd. 16-7-2013 issued as SRO No.555/2013 Government had approved a scheme specifying that Fast Passenger Services, Super Express, Super Deluxe and Luxury services should be run and operated by the State Transport undertaking and excluding the Private stage carriage services by invoking powers conferred by sub section (3) of Section 100 of MV Act 1988. The order No.10689/B1/2014,Trans stated that The Regional Transport Authority shall not issue any new permits in the routes covered by the above Schemes until further orders. Since the class of service is LSFP, this permit cannot be renewed. Now, the applicant had submitted application for conversion of service into LSOS. There is no provision in the Motor Vehicle Act and Rule to consider the application for a category of vehicle LSOS. The applicant also curtailed the route length to for complying with the distance rule. The permit for the stage carriage KL 50 C 2244 is expired on 02.05.2015 and not in existence the application for variation on a non-existing permit is not maintainable.

(2) The petitioner has also applied for a temporary permit in the curtailed route as LSOS. This application for a temporary permit can be considered only as a fresh permit. On perusal of records it is found that the applied route overlaps with the notified route vide GO (P) No. 42/2009/Trans Dtd. 14.07.2009. As per clause 19 of the notification, the right to operate any new service and to increase the trips in the notified routes or its portions will be reserved exclusively for the STUs. Hence the application for the issuance of a temporary permit (as LSOS) in respect of the stage carriage KL 50 C 2244 operating on the route of Kozhikode-Palakkad is **rejected**.

- |  |      |
|--|------|
| 1. Sri. K. Biju IAS, District Collector & Chairman of RTA, Malappuram. | Sd/- |
| 2. Sri. Debesh Kumar Behera IPS, District Police Chief & Member, RTA   | Sd/- |
| 3. Sri. V. Sureshkumar, Deputy Transport Commissioner, Member RTA      | Sd/- |

Sd/-  
Chairman

Sd/-  
Member 1

Sd/-  
Member 2